

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/523,027		ZENG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MICHAEL PEPITONE		1796	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) MICHAEL PEPITONE.

(2) H. Jay Spiegel.

**Date of Interview:** 3 December 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*n/a*

Claims discussed:  
*6 and 12*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*n/a*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Examiner's amendment to claims 6 and 12 to limit the compound to glycerol dimethacrylate {recited in a Markush group in claim 1}, since glycerol di(meth)acrylate is broader {includes diacrylate compound}.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2:00 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)